Factors Related with CH₄ and N₂O Emissions from a Paddy Field: Clues for Management implications
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Abstract

Paddy fields are major sources of global atmospheric greenhouse gases, including methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O). The different phases previous to emission (production, transport, diffusion, dissolution in pore water and ebullition) despite well-established have rarely been measured in field conditions. We examined them and their relationships with temperature, soil traits and plant biomass in a paddy field in Fujian, southeastern China. CH₄ emission was positively correlated with CH₄ production, plant-mediated transport, ebullition, diffusion, and concentration of dissolved CH₄ in porewater and negatively correlated with sulfate concentration, suggesting the potential use of sulfate fertilizers to mitigate CH₄ release. Air temperature and humidity, plant stem biomass, and concentrations of soil sulfate, available N, and DOC together accounted for 92% of the variance in CH₄ emission, and Eh, pH, and the concentrations of available N and Fe³⁺, leaf biomass, and air temperature 95% of the N₂O emission. Given the positive correlations between CH₄ emission and DOC content and plant biomass, reduce the addition of a carbon substrate such as straw and the development of smaller but higher yielding rice genotypes could be viable options for reducing the release of greenhouse gases from paddy fields to the atmosphere.

Introduction

Climate change is a major environmental problem of the 21st century caused mainly by increasing emissions of anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHGs). Agriculture contributes about 20% of the present atmospheric GHG concentration[1]. Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are the two most important GHGs from agriculture, with global-warming potentials (GWP) of 28 and 265 CO₂-equivalents, respectively, on a 100-year time horizon [2]. The atmospheric concentrations of CH₄ and N₂O have increased rapidly from preindustrial levels of 722 and 270 ppb to present levels of 1830 and 324 ppb, respectively[2]. N₂O is also the dominant gas that is catalytically
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Fujian Province in China, (2) examining the temporal variations of production, oxidation, transport, and porewater concentration of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in the paddy field, and (3) investigating the relationships between soil physiochemical properties and \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) metabolism (production, oxidation, transport, and final emission).

The objectives of the present study were to: (1) quantify the magnitude of GHG (\( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \)) emissions from a paddy field in Fujian Province in China, (2) examine the temporal variations of production, oxidation, transport, and porewater concentration of \( \text{CH}_4 \) in the paddy

destroying the stratospheric ozone layer, which is harmful to human health [3]. Reducing GHG emissions to the atmosphere is urgently needed to mitigate the adverse impacts of climate change.

\( \text{CH}_4 \) emissions from biogenic sources account for more than 70% of the global \( \text{CH}_4 \) emissions[4]. Paddy fields are major man-made sources of \( \text{CH}_4 \) emissions, accounting for 5–19% of the global anthropogenic \( \text{CH}_4 \) budget[5]. Rice is the major cereal crop for more than half of the world’s population[6], and the FAO[7] has estimated that rice production needs to be increased by 40% by the end of 2030s to meet the rising demand from the ever-increasing population. This increased production may lead to increased emissions of \( \text{CH}_4\) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) to the atmosphere[9].

The total \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from paddy fields mainly depend on a number of microbial-mediated processes in soils, e.g. \( \text{CH}_4 \) production, \( \text{CH}_4 \) oxidation, nitrification, and denitrification, and on numerous pathways of gas transport, e.g. plant-mediated transport (through the aerenchyma), molecular diffusion, and ebullition[10]. \( \text{CH}_4 \) is produced in anaerobic zones by methanogens, 60–90% of which is subsequently oxidized by methanotrophs in the aerobic zones of the rhizosphere and converted to \( \text{CO}_2\)[11]. \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) is a by-product of nitrification and denitrification. These processes are influenced by many environmental factors such as atmospheric, plant, and soil properties [12–14]. In general, the process-based understanding for \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) have been well-developed whereas field measurements are lacking [11, 15–17]. The availability of electron acceptors and donors in soils plays a key role in regulating \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) production and consumption[18]. Electron acceptors (e.g. \( \text{Fe}^{3+}, \text{NO}_3^-, \) and sulfate) are reduced during wet periods but regenerated (oxidized) during dry periods[19]. Soils can also provide carbon substrates to microbes for mediating \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) production and enhancing plant growth that in turn governs more than 90% of \( \text{CH}_4 \) transport [11]. Plant characteristics (e.g. biomass and root exudation) are also important regulators of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) metabolism in soils [20]. Other environmental variables, including soil temperature, pH, redox potential (Eh), and soil salinity also influence \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) metabolism [21, 22]. \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from paddy fields are strongly influenced by environmental factors that vary both spatially and temporally [23]. The individual processes of \( \text{CH}_4 \) metabolism and transport and the temporal variability of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions, which are essential for simulating GHG emissions from paddy fields, however, have rarely been quantified.

China is a major rice-producing country, accounting for 18.7% of the total area of rice paddy fields (3.06 × 10^7 ha) and 28.6% of rice production (2.06 × 10^8 Mg) globally [24]. Rice paddy fields contribute 9% of the total agricultural GHG emissions (1.59 × 10^9 t \( \text{CO}_2 \) equivalent) from China [25]. Understanding the dominant processes of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) exchange and their main controlling factors is important for developing appropriate strategies to mitigate GHG emissions.

We hypothesized that soil and plant properties that can be changed by management in a rice cropland have a significant role in the processes underlying the processes from \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) production, oxidation, and transport until final emission. We then tested this hypothesis by: (1) quantifying the magnitude of GHG (\( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \)) emissions from a paddy field in Fujian Province in China, (2) examining the temporal variations of production, oxidation, transport, and porewater concentration of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in the paddy soil, and (3) investigating the relationships between soil physiochemical properties and \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) metabolism (production, oxidation, transport, and final emission).

The objectives of the present study were to: (1) quantify the magnitude of GHG (\( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \)) emissions from a paddy field in Fujian Province in China, (2) examine the temporal variations of production, oxidation, transport, and porewater concentration of \( \text{CH}_4 \) in the paddy
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soil, and (3) investigate the relationships between soil physiochemical properties and CH₄ and N₂O metabolism (production, oxidation, transport, and final emission).

**Material and Methods**

**Study area**

The field experiments were carried out at the Wufeng Agronomy Field of the Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences (26.1°N, 119.3°E) in southeastern China during the early rice-growing season (April-July) in 2011 (Fig 1). The soil of the wetland paddy field was poorly drained, and the proportions of sand, silt, and clay particles in the top 15 cm were 28, 60, and 12%, respectively. Other soil properties (0–15 cm) at the onset of the experiment were: bulk density of 1.1 Mg m⁻³, pH (1.5 with H₂O) of 6.5, organic-carbon content of 18.1 g kg⁻¹, total nitrogen (N) content of 1.2 g kg⁻¹, total phosphorus (P) content of 1.1 g kg⁻¹, total potassium (K) content of 7.9 g kg⁻¹, and available-S of 1.26 mg kg⁻¹. After rice transplant, the paddy field was flooded by 5–7 cm of water above the soil surface throughout the growing period (32 days) by using an automatic water-level controller. At the final tiller, water was drained and crop was non-flooded during about one week. Thereafter there was a period with alternating wet and drying treatments and when the rice was ripe, a dry period of about one week was established. After this, crop was harvested. The field was plowed to a depth of 15 cm with a moldboard plow and leveled two days before rice transplantation. Mineral fertilizers were applied in three splits as complete (NH₄-P₂O₅-K₂O, 16-16-16%; Keda Fertilizer Co., Ltd., Jingzhou, China) and urea (46% N) fertilizers. The ratios between N in complete NPK fertilizer and N from urea were 21:1, 7:3 and 9:4 in the first, second and third fertilization times, respectively. Fertilization management in the rice crop followed the typical practices of southern China. A basal fertilizer was applied one day before transplantation at a rate of 42 kg N ha⁻¹, 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, and 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Twenty-one-day-old seedlings (three seedlings per hill) of rice (cv. Hesheng 10, China) were transplanted manually at a spacing of 14 × 28 cm on 6 April to three replicate plots (10 × 10 m) at the study site. The second split of fertilizer was broadcasted during the tiller initiation stage (seven days after transplanting (DAT)) at a rate of 35 kg N ha⁻¹, 20 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, and 20 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The third split was broadcasted during the panicle initiation stage (56 DAT) at a rate of 18 kg N ha⁻¹, 10 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, and 10 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. As is habitual in this area we used butachlor at 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ two days before rice transplantation to remove small grasses. No specific permissions were required for these locations/activities, the location only have plant rice that no need protect, and our study

![Fig 1. Location of the study area and sampling site (▲) in southeastern China.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.g001)
experiment were also safety. Moreover, the field studies did not involve endangered or protected species.

Measurement of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O emissions from the paddy field

Static closed chambers were used to measure CH$_4$ and N$_2$O emissions during the growing period as described by Datta et al.[23]. The chambers were made of PVC and consisted of two parts: an upper transparent compartment (100 cm height, 30 cm width, 30 cm length) was placed on a permanently installed bottom collar (10 cm height, 30 cm width, 30 cm length). Three replicate chambers were used. Each of these chambers was placed in each plot. Fluxes were measured in triplicate (three days) each week in each plot. Gas samples were collected twice daily. We used the average of the resulting 3x3x2 measurements. Each chamber was installed with two battery-operated fans to homogenize the air inside the chamber headspace, a thermometer to monitor temperature changes during the gas-sampling period, and a gas-sampling port with a neoprene rubber septum at the top of the chamber for collecting gas samples from the headspace. Each chamber covered two rice hills. A wooden boardwalk was built for accessing the plots in the study area to minimize soil disturbance during gas sampling.

Gas fluxes were measured in triplicate weekly at all chamber locations to study the seasonal variation. A 100-ml plastic syringe equipped with a 3-way stopcock was used to collect gas samples from the chamber headspace 0, 15, and 30 min after chamber deployment. Gas samples were collected twice a day. The collected gas samples were immediately transferred to 100-ml air-evacuated aluminum foil bags (Delin Gas Packaging Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) sealed with a butyl rubber septum and transported to the laboratory for analysis of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O. Additional headspace gas samples were collected hourly from 9:00 to 6:00 the following day to study the diurnal variation of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O emissions during the tillering (36 DAT) and maturity (85 DAT) stages of the rice crop.

Determination of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations in the headspace air samples

CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations in the headspace air samples were determined by a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-2014, Kyoto, Japan) packed with a Porapak Q column (2 m length, 4 mm OD, 80/100 mesh, stainless steel column). A flame ionization detector (FID) and an electron capture detector (ECD) were used for the determination of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations, respectively. Helium (99.999% purity) was used as a carrier gas (30 ml min$^{-1}$), and a make-up gas (95% argon and 5% CH$_4$) was used for the ECD. Calibration was conducted with 1.01, 7.99, and 50.5 μl CH$_4$ l$^{-1}$ in He and 0.2, 0.6, and 1.0 μl N$_2$O l$^{-1}$ in He (CRM/RM Information Center of China) as primary standards.

Measurement (in situ) of rates of CH$_4$ production and oxidation

The rates of CH$_4$ production and oxidation were measured once every two weeks using acetylene ($C_2H_2$) inhibition, which has been successfully used in both laboratory incubations and field-based studies [26–29]. After gas sampling for determining overall CH$_4$ emission, $C_2H_2$ was added to the chambers at a headspace concentration of 4% to inhibit CH$_4$ oxidation. The chambers were incubated overnight to allow the translocation of the $C_2H_2$ through the plants into the rhizosphere, which would inhibit nearly all microbial CH$_4$ oxidation[30,31]. The chambers were removed from the plants for 5 min the next morning to re-establish the ambient atmospheric conditions. The chambers were then redeployed, and the headspace gas was sampled for determining the rate of CH$_4$ production as described above for measuring total
emission. The rate of CH$_4$ oxidation was estimated by subtracting the total CH$_4$ emission rate from the CH$_4$ production rate.

Measurement (in situ) of CH$_4$ transport in the paddy field

The CH$_4$ transport pathways were measured once every two weeks following the method of Wang and Shangguan[32]. The rate of plant-mediated transport was determined by covering the entire surface inside the collar with plastic sheeting and then taping the sheeting to the base of the rice plants to block CH$_4$ ebullition and diffusional transport. The rates of total plant-mediated and diffusional transport were determined by covering the surface inside the collar with 0.15-mm gauze and then taping the gauze to the base of the rice plants to block CH$_4$ ebullition. The rate of diffusional CH$_4$ transport was then determined by subtracting the plant-mediated transport rate from the total plant-mediated and diffusional-transport rates. The rate of CH$_4$ ebullition was calculated by subtracting the plant-mediated and diffusional CH$_4$ transport rates from the total CH$_4$ emission rate. To measure the diurnal variation of GHG emissions we chose the 36 DAT as representing the tiller period and the 85 DAT representing the ripening stage.

Sampling of porewater and soil samples

Porewater was sampled in situ once every two weeks from April to July 2011. Three specially designed stainless steel tubes (2.0 cm inner diameter) were installed to a depth of 30 cm in each plot. Porewater samples were collected immediately after the measurements of CH$_4$ emission using 50-ml syringes, injected into pre-evacuated vials (20 ml), and stored in a cooling box in the field, and another part was injected into the 100 ml sample bottle. After transporting to the laboratory, the samples in the vials were stored at -20˚C until the analysis. Three soil porewater samples were randomly collected from the 0–30 cm layer of each plot. The soil samples were collected with a soil sampler (length 0.3 m and diameter 0.1 m) taking a core from the first 15 cm of soil profile.

Measurement (in situ) of porewater and soil properties

Before analysis, the vials were first thawed at room temperature and were then vigorously shaken for 5 min to equilibrate the CH$_4$ concentrations between the porewater and the headspace. The gas samples were taken from the headspace of the vials and analyzed for CH$_4$ concentration with the above gas chromatograph[30]. The porewater concentrations of sulfate and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were determined using a sequence flow analyzer (San++, SKALAR Corporation production, Breda, The Netherlands) and a TOC Analyzer (TOC-V CPH, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan), respectively. Fresh soil (0–15 cm) was digested with 1M HCl to determine the total Fe concentration in the soil, and soil Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ concentrations were determined using the 1,10-phenanthroline and spectrometric method (UV-2450, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan)[33]. The concentration of soil available N (0–15 cm) was determined by alkaline hydrolysis diffusion [33]. The growth characteristics of rice (e.g. leaf, stem, grain, above- and belowground, and total biomasses) were determined by harvesting three plants (one per plot) on each measurement day, and the biomasses were determined by oven-drying the samples.

Calculation of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes and porewater dissolved CH$_4$ concentration

The rates of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O flux from the paddy field were expressed as the increase/decrease in CH$_4$ and N$_2$O mass per unit surface area per unit time. CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes were calculated
by:

\[ F = \frac{M \cdot dc}{V} \cdot \frac{dt}{H} \cdot \frac{273}{273 + T} \]

where \( F \) is the CH\(_4\) or N\(_2\)O flux (mg CH\(_4\) m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) or µg N\(_2\)O m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)), \( M \) is the molar mass of the respective gas (16 for CH\(_4\) and 44 for N\(_2\)O), \( V \) is the molar volume of air at a standard state (22.4 l mol\(^{-1}\)), \( dc/dt \) is the change in headspace CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O concentration with time (µmol mol h\(^{-1}\)), \( H \) is the height of the chamber above the water surface (m), and \( T \) is the air temperature inside the chamber (°C).

The concentration of CH\(_4\) dissolved in the porewater was calculated by Ding et al.[34]:

\[ C = \frac{Ch \cdot Vh}{22.4 \cdot Vp} \]

where \( Ch \) is the CH\(_4\) concentration (µl l\(^{-1}\)) in the air sample from the vials, \( Vh \) is the volume of air in the bottle (ml), and \( Vp \) is the volume of the porewater in the bottle (ml).

Measurement of environmental and biotic parameters
Ambient air temperature (°C) and air humidity (%) and soil electrical conductivity (mS cm\(^{-1}\)), Eh (mV), pH, and temperature in the 0–15 cm layer were measured in situ each sampling day. Each final measurement was the average of three consecutive measurements. Air temperature and air humidity were measured using a Kestrel 3500 pocket weather meter (N K Scientific Instruments, Carlsbad, USA). Soil redox potential (Eh), pH, and temperature were measured with an Eh/pH/temperature meter (IQ Scientific Instruments, Carlsbad, USA), and soil electrical conductivity was measured using a 2265FS EC Meter (Spectrum Technologies Inc., Paxinos, USA).

Statistical analysis
The data were checked for normality and homogeneity of variance, and if necessary, were log-transformed. Pearson correlation analysis was also used to determine the relationships of porewater CH\(_4\) concentration, CH\(_4\) production, CH\(_4\) oxidation, CH\(_4\) transport, CH\(_4\) emissions, and N\(_2\)O emissions among them and with soil properties, rice growth, and meteorological variables. Stepwise regression analysis was used to determine the relationships of CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O dynamics with soil properties, rice growth, and meteorological variables. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

Results
CH\(_4\) emissions from the paddy field
The pattern/intensity of CH\(_4\) emissions from the paddy field varied with the stage of rice growth (Fig 2). The emission rate was relatively low (0.04–0.55 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) during the initial stage (1–22 DAT) and increased as the crop matured. The rate peaked on 71 DAT (7.99 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and then decreased following drainage and ripening of the rice crop (0.28–0.75 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)). The seasonal average CH\(_4\) emission rate was 3.53 ± 3.37 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\).

Diurnal variation of CH\(_4\) emissions from the paddy field differed significantly between the tillering (36 DAT) and maturity (85 DAT) stages (Fig 3). On 36 DAT, emission was maximum at 15:00 (9.36 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and minimum at 9:00 (7.06 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)), with an average of 8.98 ± 3.20 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\). CH\(_4\) emissions on 85 DAT decreased continuously throughout the day from a
The pattern/intensity of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from the wetland paddy field also varied with the stage of rice growth (Fig 2). The emission rate was relatively high (-5.21 to 359 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) during the initial stage (1–22 DAT), but decreased rapidly following the development of anaerobic conditions in the soil. Emission peaked on 8 (359 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and 22 (217 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) DAT. The emission rate increased slightly after drainage at the final growth stage (-1.00 to 57.4 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)). The seasonal average \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission rate was 36.1 ± 114 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\).

Diurnal variation of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from the wetland paddy field also differed significantly between the tillering (36 DAT) and ripening (85 DAT) stages (Fig 3). On 36 DAT, emission was maximum at 9:00 (10.7 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and minimum at 6:00 (-14.0 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)), with an average of 1.37 ± 7.4 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\). On 85 DAT, emission was maximum at 9:00 (58.1 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and minimum at midnight (-28.5 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)), with an average of 16.3 ± 24.1 \( \mu \)g m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\).

Changes in soil, porewater, weather, and plant parameters during the experimental period

Ambient air temperature increased steadily over the period of rice growth from 18.9 to 33.5˚C, with an average of 27.2 ± 4.7˚C. Air humidity varied considerably between 30.6 and 95.9% during the same period, with an average of 74.5 ± 16.8% (Fig 4).

Various soil parameters (0–15 cm) also changed during the period of growth (Fig 5). Soil temperature increased from 18.5˚C at the beginning (April) to 29.1˚C at the end (July) of the period, with an average of 24.1 ± 3.5˚C. Soil electrical conductivity increased initially and then decreased from 29 DAT onward (range: 0.34–0.96 mS cm\(^{-1}\), mean: 0.63 ± 0.18 mS cm\(^{-1}\)). Soil pH changed significantly throughout the period (range: 4.91–6.99, mean: 6.54 ± 0.58). Soil Eh
was lower during the flooding period and began to increase after 64 DAT (range: -20.2 to 124 mV, mean: 34.6 ± 41.7 mV). Soil Fe³⁺ concentration changed with flooding and drainage during the growth period (range: 1.33–7.85 mg g⁻¹, mean: 4.21 ± 2.45 mg g⁻¹). Soil available N concentration was higher before 29 DAT and began to decrease significantly after 43 DAT (range: 2.66–17.2 mg kg⁻¹, mean: 7.90 ± 6.34 mg kg⁻¹). Soil porewater sulfate concentration was higher early and late in the growth period and lower during the tillering and flowering stages (range: 19.2–163 mg kg⁻¹, mean: 82.0 ± 49.6 mg kg⁻¹). Soil porewater DOC concentration increased to a peak on 15 DAT before decreasing (range: 65.6–428 mg kg⁻¹, mean: 210 ± 151 mg kg⁻¹) (Fig 6).

The above- and belowground biomasses of the rice plants at harvest were 1135 ± 131 and 198 ± 18.4 g m⁻², respectively (Fig 7).

Relationships of CH₄ production, oxidation, and transport with emissions

The rate of CH₄ production was low early in the season (Fig 8) but had become significantly higher by 71 DAT. The rate of CH₄ oxidation was low throughout the growth period except during 71–78 DAT. The rate of plant-mediated transport of CH₄ was low during the initial
growth and ripening stages but then rose to a peak on 71 DAT (7.02 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)). The rate of CH\(_4\) ebullition was also low during the initial growth and ripening stages but had increased by 43 DAT (1.36 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)). The rate of CH\(_4\) diffusional transport was low throughout the growth period. Compared to other sampling days, porewater CH\(_4\) concentrations were significantly higher to a depth of 30 cm on 71 DAT (69.52 μmol l\(^{-1}\)) and significantly lower on 15 DAT (1.40 μmol l\(^{-1}\)), with an average of 17.4 μmol l\(^{-1}\).

CH\(_4\) emission was positively correlated with CH\(_4\) production (\(R = 0.994\)), plant-mediated transport (\(R = 0.997\)), ebullition (\(R = 0.940\)), CH\(_4\) diffusion (\(R = 0.530\)), and dissolved CH\(_4\) concentration in the porewater (\(R = 0.620\)) (Table 1). About 94% of the CH\(_4\) produced (calculated as the percentage of production to total emission) from the anaerobic soil environment was released into the atmosphere, and less than 6% was oxidized (Fig 8). Plant-mediated transport was the most important pathway, contributing about 98% of the CH\(_4\) emission, and ebullition and diffusion together contributed only 2% of the CH\(_4\) emission.

Figure 4. Temporal variation of air temperature (A) and humidity (B) in the paddy field. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean of triplicate measurements.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.g004

Relationships of CH\(_4\) metabolism with environmental variables

CH\(_4\) emission was significantly correlated positively with soil DOC concentration (\(R = 0.667\)) and some plant biomasses (Table 2) and negatively with soil Eh (\(R = -0.350\)) and sulfate (\(R = -0.713\)) and available N concentrations (\(R = -0.370\)). CH\(_4\) production was correlated positively with soil DOC concentration (\(R = 0.670\)) and with some plant biomasses, including total biomass (\(R = 0.562\)), and negatively with sulfate (\(R = -0.729\)) and available N (\(R = -0.414\)) concentrations. CH\(_4\) oxidation was correlated positively with air temperature (\(R = 0.832\)) and soil temperature (\(R = 0.838\)), soil Eh (\(R = 0.480\)), Fe\(^{3+}\) (\(R = 0.582\)) and DOC concentrations (\(R = 0.368\)), and all plant-related parameters, including total biomass (\(R = 0.882\)), and
Fig 5. Temporal variation of soil temperature (A), soil salinity (B), pH (C), Eh (D), and Fe$^{3+}$ (E) and available N (F) concentrations in the paddy field. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean of triplicate measurements.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.g005
negatively with relative humidity ($R = -0.520$) and soil salinity ($R = -0.782$), pH ($R = -0.603$), and sulfate ($R = -0.568$) and available N concentrations ($R = -0.771$).

Plant-mediated transport was correlated positively with air temperature ($R = 0.621$) and soil temperature ($R = 0.457$), soil Fe$^{3+}$ ($R = 0.603$) and DOC concentrations ($R = 0.645$), and all plant-related parameters, including total biomass ($R = 0.877$), and negatively with air humidity ($R = -0.522$) and sulfate ($R = -0.732$) and available N concentrations ($R = -0.560$) (Table 2).

Ebullition was correlated positively with air temperature ($R = 0.416$), Fe$^{3+}$ ($R = 0.413$), and DOC ($R = 0.744$) concentrations, and all plant-related parameters, including total biomass ($R = 0.706$), and negatively with relative humidity ($R = -0.534$) and sulfate ($R = -0.746$) and available N concentrations ($R = -0.532$). Diffusional transport was correlated positively with soil salinity ($R = 0.649$) and belowground biomass ($R = 0.456$) and negatively with soil temperature ($R = -0.538$). Dissolved CH$_4$ concentration was correlated positively with air temperature ($R = 0.728$) and soil temperatures ($R = 0.810$), Eh ($R = 0.718$), Fe$^{3+}$ concentration ($R = 0.677$), and all plant-related parameters, including total biomass ($R = 0.796$), and negatively with relative humidity ($R = -0.405$) and soil salinity ($R = -0.889$), pH ($R = -0.730$), and sulfate ($R = -0.422$) and available N concentrations ($R = -0.565$).

We used stepwise regression analysis to identify the most important variable(s) controlling CH$_4$ metabolism. CH$_4$ emission was largely governed by sulfate, available N, and DOC concentrations, stem biomass, air temperature, and relative humidity, which together explained...
Fig 7. Temporal variation of plant leaf biomass (A), stem biomass (B), belowground biomass (C), aboveground biomass (D, sum of leaf, stem, and grain biomasses), and total biomass (E, sum of above- and belowground biomasses) in the paddy field. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean of triplicate measurements.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.g007
92% of the variance (Table 3). Sulfate and available N concentrations, stem and leaf biomasses, air temperature, and relative humidity together explained 96% of the variance in CH$_4$. 

Fig 8. Seasonal variation of CH$_4$ production (A), oxidation (B), plant transport (C), ebullition transport (D), diffusional transport (E), and porewater dissolved CH$_4$ concentration (F) in the paddy field. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean of triplicate measurements.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.g008
production. In contrast, about 88% of the variance in CH$_4$ oxidation could be accounted for by changes in aboveground and stem biomasses, air temperature, relative humidity, and soil Eh and available N concentration. Plant-mediated transport of CH$_4$ was controlled by above- and

Table 1. Pearson correlation coefficients between CH$_4$ emission, production, oxidation, and transport and the concentration of dissolved CH$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CH$_4$ emission (n = 27)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ production (n = 27)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ oxidation (n = 27)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ plant transport (n = 21)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ ebullition transport (n = 21)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ diffusion transport (n = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$ production</td>
<td>0.994**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$ oxidation</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.997**</td>
<td>0.991**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.909**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$ plant transport</td>
<td>0.940**</td>
<td>0.924**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.924**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.909**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$ ebullition transport</td>
<td>0.940**</td>
<td>0.924**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.924**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.909**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved CH$_4$ (n = 18)</td>
<td>0.620**</td>
<td>0.661**</td>
<td>0.432*</td>
<td>0.670**</td>
<td>0.378*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, $P < 0.05$
**,** $P < 0.01$
NS, not significant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.t001

Table 2. Pearson correlation coefficients between CH$_4$ metabolism, N$_2$O emission, and various environmental factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CH$_4$ emission (n = 21)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ production (n = 21)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ oxidation (n = 21)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ plant transport (n = 18)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ ebullition transport (n = 18)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ diffusion transport (n = 18)</th>
<th>Dissolved CH$_4$ (n = 18)</th>
<th>N$_2$O emission (n = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meteorological factor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.832**</td>
<td>0.621**</td>
<td>0.416*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.728**</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.520**</td>
<td>-0.522*</td>
<td>-0.534*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.405*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil factor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.838**</td>
<td>0.457*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.538**</td>
<td>0.810**</td>
<td>0.494*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.782*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.649**</td>
<td>-0.889**</td>
<td>-0.651**</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.603**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.730**</td>
<td>-0.728**</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eh</td>
<td>-0.350*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.480*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.718**</td>
<td>0.877**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.582**</td>
<td>0.603**</td>
<td>0.413*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.677**</td>
<td>0.649**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available nitrogen</td>
<td>-0.370*</td>
<td>-0.414*</td>
<td>-0.771*</td>
<td>-0.560**</td>
<td>-0.532*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.565**</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porewater factor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>-0.713**</td>
<td>-0.729**</td>
<td>-0.568**</td>
<td>-0.732**</td>
<td>-0.746**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.422*</td>
<td>0.465*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>0.667**</td>
<td>0.670**</td>
<td>0.368*</td>
<td>0.645**</td>
<td>0.744**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.479*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant factor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background biomass</td>
<td>0.446*</td>
<td>0.480*</td>
<td>0.675**</td>
<td>0.894**</td>
<td>0.936**</td>
<td>0.456*</td>
<td>0.499*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem biomass</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.936**</td>
<td>0.673**</td>
<td>0.379*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.990**</td>
<td>0.445*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf biomass</td>
<td>0.517*</td>
<td>0.563**</td>
<td>0.856**</td>
<td>0.851**</td>
<td>0.690**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.768**</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboveground biomass</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.354*</td>
<td>0.939**</td>
<td>0.766**</td>
<td>0.490*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.977*</td>
<td>0.447*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total biomass</td>
<td>0.515*</td>
<td>0.562**</td>
<td>0.882**</td>
<td>0.877**</td>
<td>0.706**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.796*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, $P < 0.05$
**,** $P < 0.01$
NS, not significant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.t002
belowground biomasses, air temperature, and soil Fe\(^{3+}\) concentration and pH, which together explained 91% of the variance. Stem biomass, air and soil temperatures, relative humidity, and soil available N concentration accounted for 69% of the variation in CH\(_4\) ebullition. Soil salinity and Eh, belowground and leaf biomasses, and air humidity together explained 73% of the variance in CH\(_4\) diffusional transport. Over 82% of the variance of the dissolved CH\(_4\) concentration was explained by a combination of stem biomass, relative humidity, and soil temperature, salinity, and available N concentration.

### Relationships of N\(_2\)O emission with environmental variables

Table 2 presents the Pearson correlation coefficients between N\(_2\)O emissions and the environmental factors. N\(_2\)O emission was significantly correlated positively with soil temperature (\(R = 0.494\)), Eh (\(R = 0.877\)), Fe\(^{3+}\) (\(R = 0.649\)) and sulfate concentrations (\(R = 0.465\)), and stem (\(R = 0.445\)) and aboveground (\(R = 0.447\)) biomasses and negatively with soil salinity (\(R = -0.651\)), pH (\(R = -0.728\)), and DOC concentration (\(R = -0.479\)) (\(P < 0.05\)).

The stepwise regression analysis indicated that 95% of the variation in N\(_2\)O emission could be explained by changes in air temperature, leaf biomass, soil Eh, pH, and available N and Fe\(^{3+}\) concentrations (Table 3).

### Crop yield and GHG per Mg of crop yield

The crop yield in this paddy field was 8.1 Mg ha\(^{-1}\), so CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O emissions per Mg of crop (grain) yield were 9.62 and 0.1 kg, respectively.

### Discussion

#### Temporal patterns of CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O emissions

The diurnal variation of CH\(_4\) emissions from the paddy field differed significantly between the tillering (36 DAT) and ripening (85 DAT) stages of rice growth (Fig 2). Diurnal emission was maximum during the tillering stage at 15:00, which might be attributed to a higher soil temperature in the afternoon that enhanced microbial CH\(_4\) production. Luo et al.[14] reported that soil temperature had a significant effect on the diurnal variation of CH\(_4\) emission in a temperate climate.

---

**Table 2. Equations of stepwise regression analysis for CH\(_4\) metabolism and N\(_2\)O emission with environmental factors.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH(_4) emission</td>
<td>(Y = 23.123 - 0.139) Sulfate + 0.571 available N + 0.017 stem biomass - 0.521 air temperature - 0.003 DOC - 0.003 air humidity</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_4) production</td>
<td>(Y = 19.494 - 0.135) Sulfate + 0.578 available N + 0.018 stem biomass - 0.470 air temperature + 0.017 air humidity - 0.002 leaf biomass</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_4) oxidation</td>
<td>(Y = -0.147 + 0.001) aboveground biomass - 0.003 Eh + 0.004 humidity - 0.013 available N + 0.001 stem biomass + 0.004 air temperature</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant transport</td>
<td>(Y = -47.666 + 0.023) belowground biomass + 0.936 Fe(^{3+}) + 6.690 pH + 0.003 above biomass - 0.013 air temperature</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebullition transport</td>
<td>(Y = -0.696 + 0.011) belowground biomass - 0.010 air temperature + 0.009 air humidity + 0.020 available N - 0.012 soil temperature</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusional transport</td>
<td>(Y = -0.516 + 0.671) soil salinity + 0.001 belowground biomass + 0.004 Eh + 0.001 humidity - 0.001 leaf biomass</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved CH(_4)</td>
<td>(Y = -36.629 + 0.125) stem biomass + 2.251 soil temperature + 0.872 available N - 0.286 air humidity + 5.831 soil salinity</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_2)O emission</td>
<td>(Y = -100.673 + 0.943) Eh + 4.171 available N + 2.697 air temperature - 5.614 Fe(^{3+}) + 0.061 leaf biomass - 0.841 pH</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**doi:**10.1371/journal.pone.0169254.t003
spruce forest in Germany, a tropical rain forest in Australia, and an ungrazed semi-arid steppe in China. The diurnal variation of \( \text{CH}_4 \) emission may also be partly explained by the photosynthetic activity of the rice plants. Up to 52% of the \( \text{CH}_4 \) emissions from paddy soils comes the exudation of labile organic carbon from roots to the rhizosphere for methanogenesis i.e. comes from photosynthesis. The other 48% is emitted from old soil carbon[35]. The photosynthetic activity of rice plants during the day probably causes a rapid translocation of photosynthates to belowground tissues, hence promoting \( \text{CH}_4 \) production and emissions from soils[35, 36]. Lai et al.[36] reported that the duration of \( \text{CH}_4 \) production was much shorter than the lag in \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux of 9–12 hours after maximum photosynthetic activity in a sedge-dominated community in a northern peatland. Microbial respiration in soils may also increase \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations in soil water due to the absence of photosynthesis at night, because the \( \text{CO}_2 \) cannot be used for photosynthesis. Higher \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations could reduce the pH of soil solutions, which in turn could lower \( \text{CH}_4 \) production and emissions from soils at night. The diurnal \( \text{CH}_4 \) emission during ripening was maximum at 9:00. Young rice plants contributed a substantial proportion of their photosynthates to soils compared to mature plants[37]. The diurnal variability of \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux during ripening was likely not strongly limited by the supply of labile carbon substrates, but by other environmental factors. The \( \text{CH}_4 \) peak in the early morning could be attributed to wind-driven ventilation immediately after sunrise that promoted the mass transport of \( \text{CH}_4 \) produced and stored in soil pores during the relatively calm night.

\( \text{CH}_4 \) emission, production, and plant-mediated transport had similar seasonal patterns, with higher rates on 71 DAT (young panicle differentiation stage) and lower rates during the initial growth and ripening stages (Fig 8). Such seasonal patterns were typical for \( \text{CH}_4 \) metabolism in paddy fields, especially for the overall \( \text{CH}_4 \) emission, with similar findings reported in Italy[38], Japan[39], and northeastern China[40].

The diurnal variation of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from the paddy field differed significantly between the tillering (36 DAT) and ripening (85 DAT) stages (Fig 2). Emissions during the tillering stage were maximum and minimum at 9:00 and 18:00, respectively, similar to emissions in a natural wetland [41]. Emission during ripening was maximum at 9:00. A combination of low nighttime temperature and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) diffusion rate from the paddy soil to the atmosphere likely led to the accumulation of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in the soil. The accumulated \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) would be released to the atmosphere the following morning in a burst due to turbulence-driven mass flow. Higher daytime \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission has also been reported for mangroves during the early growth stage [20, 42], which could be due to changes in N chemistry, \( \text{O}_2 \) availability, or soil temperature[43, 44].

Main \( \text{CH}_4 \) metabolic process controlling \( \text{CH}_4 \) emission

Frenzel and Karofeld[10] reported that 80–99% of the \( \text{CH}_4 \) produced in paddy soil was oxidized in the rhizosphere, and Jia et al.[45] reported that an average of 36.3–54.7% of the \( \text{CH}_4 \) produced was oxidized in rice paddy soils. The fraction of the \( \text{CH}_4 \) oxidized in our study was much smaller (9.6%), perhaps due to a smaller methanotrophic community, less efficient transport of oxygen to the rhizosphere, or both. Further studies of microbial diversity and anaerobic \( \text{CH}_4 \) oxidation are required to elucidate the causes of the limited role of methanotrophs in the paddy soil.

Plant-mediated transport was the most important pathway of \( \text{CH}_4 \) transport (about 98% of total \( \text{CH}_4 \) emission). Ebulullion and diffusion contributed only 2% to the overall \( \text{CH}_4 \) emission. Frenzel and Karofeld[10] reported that ebullition accounted for only <1% of the total \( \text{CH}_4 \) emitted to the atmosphere from a raised bog, which was similar in magnitude to our findings. Boose and Frenzel[46] found a strong influence of ebullition on \( \text{CH}_4 \) emissions from rice microcosms during the early vegetative and late senescence phases, but such variations among growth stages were not seen in the present study. The high \( \text{CH}_4 \) emissions at the young panicle
differentiation stage (71 DAT) might be attributed to the rapid development of plant aerenchyma, which is supported by the higher rate of plant-mediated CH\textsubscript{4} transport on 71 DAT (Fig 6). Jia et al.[45], however, reported a higher CH\textsubscript{4} emission from paddy soils during the tillering stage compared to the panicle initiation stage, which could be explained by less oxidation of the produced CH\textsubscript{4}. CH\textsubscript{4} oxidation at our study site, though, did not have a significant influence on overall CH\textsubscript{4} emissions. The relationships among CH\textsubscript{4} emissions production and transport, but not with CH\textsubscript{4} oxidation, suggest that CH\textsubscript{4} emissions are mainly related with CH\textsubscript{4} production and CH\textsubscript{4} transport and less or not with CH\textsubscript{4} oxidation.

Influence of environmental factors on CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O emission: clues for mitigation

Both CH\textsubscript{4} production and emission in this subtropical paddy field were predominantly controlled by the soil concentrations of sulfate and DOC and the biomass of the rice plants. Sulfate concentration was the most important factor controlling CH\textsubscript{4} emission due to the inhibitory effects of sulfate on the activities of soil methanogens during rice cultivation[47]. Our study demonstrated significant and negative correlations between soil sulfate concentration and CH\textsubscript{4} production and emission, in accordance with the results from other studies simulating the effects of sulfate deposition on paddy fields [47–49]. Sulfate reduction is thermodynamically more favorable than CH\textsubscript{4} production in the anaerobic degradation of soil organic matter [50], so an increase in the availability of sulfate ions would suppress the activity of methanogens and CH\textsubscript{4} production during rice cultivation [51]. Acetate and molecular hydrogen (H\textsubscript{2}) are important methanogenic substrates but may also be consumed by oxidation with electron acceptors such as sulfate [50]. An increase in soil sulfate concentration in an Italian paddy field reduced the H\textsubscript{2} partial pressure in the soil below the threshold concentration for methanogens, thus inhibiting H\textsubscript{2}-dependent methanogenesis [51]. The drainage of paddy fields in Texas, USA, reduced acetate concentrations and concomitantly increased the soil sulfate concentration and decreased CH\textsubscript{4} production, suggesting that sulfate reducers were able to outcompete methanogens for the available acetate, a labile carbon substrate [51]. But we must be careful with the direct link between sulfate concentration and CH\textsubscript{4} release because of the max value of CH\textsubscript{4} emission occurred during flood period, and at the as time, sulfate reduction is also very high due to the redox state in anaerobic environment. Therefore, this relationship might be driven by the water condition in the paddy field, and does not mean a causal relationship.

Sulfate concentration in our study, however, was significantly and positively correlated with soil N\textsubscript{2}O emissions and was governed by mechanisms similar to the effects of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} on N\textsubscript{2}O emission. Sulfate, as an oxidant, could facilitate the oxidation of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}, which could then enhance the production of N\textsubscript{2}O [52]. Moreover, an increase in sulfate concentration would increase the amount of elemental sulfur in the paddy field, which could act as a reductant and enhance the production of N\textsubscript{2}O by reducing NO\textsubscript{3} [53].

Many agricultural management practices have been developed for mitigating CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from paddy fields[54–56]. Ali et al.[57] reported that intermittent irrigation of rice paddies significantly decreased CH\textsubscript{4} emission but stimulated N\textsubscript{2}O emission. Kudo et al.[56] also reported that mid-season drainage successfully mitigated CH\textsubscript{4} emissions from paddy fields but led to a sharp increase in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. The results from these studies suggest a trade-off between mitigating CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes from paddy fields. N\textsubscript{2}O is a more potent GHG than CH\textsubscript{4}, especially on a long-term basis, so the overall global-warming potential of the various management strategies should be carefully considered [58].

Our study showed that CH\textsubscript{4} emission from a subtropical paddy field was negatively correlated with N\textsubscript{2}O emission, which may mainly have been due to the differential responses of various
microbial groups (e.g. methanogens and nitrifying and denitrifying bacteria) to critical environmental factors\[59\]. For example, sufficiently low Eh is required for CH\(_4\) formation, because methanogenic bacteria metabolize organic substances under strictly anaerobic conditions\[60\]. Soil denitrification, however, could become dominant under such conditions, and most of the intermediate products (i.e. NO and N\(_2\)O) could be reduced to the final product N\(_2\), especially in N-limited areas such as our study site\[61\] where N\(_2\)O emission can be negative (Fig 2). Van Rijn \[62\], however, reported that denitrification in soils with a high Eh could become a dominant microbial process that promotes N\(_2\)O production but inhibits methanogenic activity.

DOC concentration is an indicator of the availability of substrates for CH\(_4\) production that in turn influences CH\(_4\) emission\[63\]. A large amount of DOC could be derived from the exudation of organic acids from roots, and about 61–83% of the carbon in these exudates could serve as methanogenic substrates and eventually be converted into CH\(_4\)\[36\]. The concentration of soil organic carbon was lower at our study site (18.1 g kg\(^{-1}\)) than in other paddy fields \[64, 65\]. CH\(_4\) production in our paddy field was thus probably limited by the availability of organic substrates, which was supported by the positive response of CH\(_4\) production to carbon addition reported for wetland soils in the same study area\[66\]. The observed increasing concentration of methane dissolved in pore water after 43 DAT (Fig 8) coincided with the re-flooded period after the drainage period when much carbon from roots and plants has been deposited in the soil, and therefore was available in pore water.

The rice plants were an important factor controlling CH\(_4\) emissions from the soil. The development of an internal gas-space ventilation system (aerenchyma) in some vascular plants provides improved aeration for submerged organs in anoxic soils below the water table\[67\]. These aerenchymatous tissues can also serve as gas conduits for the transport of CH\(_4\) from the rhizosphere to the atmosphere, while bypassing the aerobic, methane-oxidizing layers\[68\]. Molecular diffusion and bulk flow are two other mechanisms involved in the transport of CH\(_4\) from soil to the atmosphere\[67\]. The respiratory uptake of oxygen by plants creates a diffusion gradient that draws O\(_2\) from the atmosphere to the rhizosphere\[17\]. This gradient is accompanied by an upward diffusion of CH\(_4\) from the rhizosphere to the atmosphere via the aerenchyma down the concentration gradient. The bulk transport of CH\(_4\) by plants, though, is driven by pressure differences. Differences in temperature or water-vapor pressure between the internal air spaces in plants and the surrounding atmosphere generate a pressure gradient that drives gases to vent from leaves to the rhizosphere in bulk and then vent back to the atmosphere through old leaves or rhizomes connected to other shoots. During this convective flow, CH\(_4\) produced in the rhizosphere can also be rapidly flushed to the atmosphere\[67\]. This plant-mediated pathway enables an efficient transport of CH\(_4\) with minimal resistance\[17\].

The N\(_2\)O emissions from the paddy field varied with the stage of rice growth. Emissions were higher during initial growth compared to other stages due to the increased availability of substrates for N\(_2\)O production subsequent to the N fertilization, in agreement with previous studies\[69\]. Our stepwise regression analysis also indicated that the fluctuation of soil Eh between the alternating wet and dry periods was an important factor influencing N\(_2\)O emission, consistent with the findings of similar studies\[70\]. Furthermore, the soil Fe\(^{3+}\) concentration was significantly and positively correlated with N\(_2\)O emission (Table 2). Previous studies have also found that variations in N\(_2\)O emissions from subtropical paddy fields were dependent on Fe\(^{3+}\) concentrations during rice growth, which may be due to two mechanisms\[71, 72\]. Firstly, higher Fe\(^{3+}\) concentrations could increase the biological oxidation of ammonium that is known to generate N\(_2\)O by nitrification\[73\]. Secondly, higher concentrations of Fe\(^{3+}\) in the soil could also lead to an increase in Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations, which in turn would promote the reduction of nitrite to N\(_2\)O \[54, 73\].
The results of our study also indicated a significant, positive correlation between soil Eh and N$_2$O emission. A decrease in Eh in a subtropical swamp was accompanied by a reduction in soil N$_2$O concentrations that could directly reduce the emissions of N$_2$O to the atmosphere [74]. Previous studies have also reported higher emissions of N$_2$O during the day than at night as a result of higher dissolved O$_2$ concentrations, which indirectly supported the findings of a positive correlation between soil Eh and N$_2$O emission[43, 44]. Based on our results and those from previous studies, the positive relationship between N$_2$O emission and Eh in our paddy field was likely because nitrification was the major mechanism governing N$_2$O production, as supported by the very low concentrations of dissolved NO$_3^-$ measured in the porewater samples that were below the detection limit of our ion chromatograph (<0.01 mg l$^{-1}$, ICS2100, Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, USA).

This study provides results indicating that both models of CH$_4$ emissions and management strategies to reduce CH$_4$ emissions should take into account the trade-off between N$_2$O and CH$_4$ emissions. For instance, some previous studies claim that the use of sulphate N-fertilization reduced CH$_4$ emissions[11], however, as commented this could increase N$_2$O emissions. The limited number of available observations of CH$_4$ N$_2$O emissions in relation to environmental variables under field conditions have constrained the parameterization and validation of process-based biogeochemistry models [15, 16]; this study can thus contribute to improve models of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O emissions. Moreover, the results of this study indicate the interest of management strategies that can reduce methane emission by decreasing the production or transportation or increasing the oxidation. Furthermore, application of electron acceptors, such as Fe$^{2+}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, or NO$_3^-$ could also be considered.

Conclusions

Methanogenesis and plant-related CH$_4$ transport are the two main processes governing the overall CH$_4$ emission from paddy fields. The reduction of CH$_4$ production in soil is thus critical for any attempt to mitigate CH$_4$ emissions from paddy fields. The sulfate concentrations were negatively correlated with CH$_4$ emissions, so the amendment with sulfate fertilizers may be a viable option to reduce CH$_4$ emission from the soil. Acid and sulfate deposition (by rainwater) are increasing in this part of China, so CH$_4$ production and emissions would likely be suppressed to some extent due to an increase in sulfate availability. Our results, however, suggest that the use of sulfate fertilizer may increase N$_2$O emissions from paddy fields. The stepwise regression analysis showed that plant-related parameters, such as stem and leaf biomasses, were the most important factors controlling CH$_4$ and N$_2$O emissions with significant, positive correlations. Rice cultivars with low biomasses should thus be selected for reducing the plant-mediated transport of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O through the aerenchymatous tissue. CH$_4$ emission was positively correlated with DOC concentration, so our results suggest that the addition of carbon substrates such as straw could be an option for mitigating the GHG emissions from paddy fields.
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